

Superconducting Gap and Symmetry in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ Studied by Specific Heat in Magnetic Fields

Takuya Konno¹, Tadashi Adachi^{2*}, Masato Imaizumi¹, Takashi Noji¹, Takayuki Kawamata¹, and Yoji Koike¹

¹Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University,
6-6-05 Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

²Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sophia University,
7-1 Kioi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan

In order to investigate details of the superconducting (SC) gap in the iron-chalcogenide superconductors, the specific heat, C , of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$ has been measured in magnetic fields. Using the two-gap model, it has been found that the smaller SC gap is significantly depressed by the application of magnetic field, resulting in the increase of the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot at low temperatures. From the specific-heat measurements at very low temperatures down to 0.4 K, it has been found that the enhancement of the residual electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the ground state, γ_0 , by the application of magnetic field is much smaller than that expected for superconductors with the typical s -wave or d -wave SC pairing symmetry, which is in sharp contrast to the significant enhancement of γ_0 observed in the iron-pnictide superconductors. These results are discussed in relation to the multi-band effect in the iron-based superconductors.

1. Introduction

In the research of superconductivity, the understanding of the superconducting (SC) gap provides crucial information on the SC pairing mechanism. In iron-based superconductors whose SC transition temperature, T_c , exceeds 50 K,¹⁾ one of key features is that there exist multiple Fermi surfaces consisting of five orbitals of iron 3d electrons.²⁻⁵⁾ In addition, the existence of at least two kinds of SC gap have been suggested from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES),^{6,7)} scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS)⁸⁾ and point-contact Andreev reflection spectroscopy⁹⁾ measurements in both hole-doped $(\text{Ba,K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ and electron-doped $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe,Co})_2\text{As}_2$. As for the SC gap structure, a nodeless gap has been suggested to exist in optimally doped $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ¹⁰⁾ and $(\text{Ba,K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ ¹¹⁾ from ARPES, in optimally doped $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe,Co})_2\text{As}_2$ from thermal conductivity¹²⁾ and in LiFeAs from specific-heat¹³⁾ measurements. On the other hand, a gap with nodes has been suggested to exist in KFe_2As_2 from ARPES,¹⁴⁾ in overdoped $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe,Co})_2\text{As}_2$ from specific heat¹⁵⁾ and in phosphorus-substituted LaFePO ¹⁶⁾ and $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$ ¹⁷⁾ from penetration-depth measurements. Accordingly, in the iron-based superconductors, it is no doubt that there exist at least two kinds of SC gap and that the SC gap structure is different depending on material and carrier doping.

The iron-chalcogenide superconductor $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ is classified into another group among iron-based superconductors. Without nominal doping of carriers, T_c has been found to increase with increasing x from 8 K at $x = 0$,¹⁸⁾ show the maximum of 14 K at $x = 0.6 - 0.7$ and the superconductivity disappears at $x = 1$ where an antiferromagnetic (AF) long-range order appears at low temperatures below ~ 67 K.¹⁹⁻²²⁾ Single crystals of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ have been grown in a range of $x = 0.5 - 1$, but as-grown single-crystals tend to include excess iron at the interstitial site between the (Se,Te)-(Se,Te) layers in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$,²¹⁾ resulting in the marked suppression of bulk superconductivity for $x \geq 0.7$.²³⁾ Afterward, we have

succeeded in obtaining bulk SC single-crystals with a wide range of $x = 0.5 - 0.9$ through the annealing in vacuum ($\sim 10^{-4}$ Pa).²⁴⁾ It has been reported that both the annealing in oxygen for a short time²⁵⁾ and the annealing under tellurium vapor²⁶⁾ are also effective to obtain bulk SC single-crystals. These three kinds of annealing are regarded as operating to remove excess iron from the crystals and leading to the appearance of bulk superconductivity.²⁷⁾

In $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$, two kinds of SC gap have also been reported to exist from specific heat,²⁸⁾ muon spin relaxation (μSR),^{29,30)} optical conductivity,³¹⁾ penetration depth³²⁾ measurements. Moreover, it has been suggested from specific heat,^{23,33)} thermal conductivity,³⁴⁾ μSR ³⁵⁾ measurements that the SC gap structure of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ is nodeless. Angle-resolved specific heat measurements have suggested that the SC gap is modulated in k -space, resulting in the existence of deep minima in the gap.³⁶⁾ Further detailed measurements of STS³⁷⁾ and microwave conductivity^{38,39)} have claimed that the SC pairing symmetry is $s_{\pm}$ -wave corresponding to the spin fluctuation as a glue of electron pairs.^{40,41)} On the contrary, the study of impurity-substitution effects⁴²⁾ has revealed that it is s_{++} -wave corresponding to the orbital fluctuation as a glue.^{43,44)} Therefore, the SC gap in iron-chalcogenide superconductors is controversial even now.

According to specific-heat measurements in magnetic fields by Hu *et al.*,²⁸⁾ the enhancement of the electronic-specific-heat-coefficient, γ , by the application of magnetic field is smaller than that expected for superconductors with the typical isotropic s -wave symmetry, which is interpreted as being most likely due to the multi-band effect. However, they have estimated the value of γ defined as the value of the specific heat, C , divided by temperature at a finite temperature of 3 K, so that the value of γ is not the residual electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the ground state, γ_0 . Therefore, more detailed investigation has been desired. In this paper, we have performed the specific-heat measurements in magnetic fields for single crystals of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$ at very low temperatures down to 0.4 K in order to clarify

*E-mail: t-adachi@sophia.ac.jp




Fig. 1. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the specific heat, C , plotted as C/T vs T^2 for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$. Observed peaks for $x = 0.6 - 0.9$ are due to the superconducting transition.

details of the SC gap and symmetry.

2. Experimental Details

Single crystals of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$ were grown by the Bridgman method.²⁴⁾ As-grown crystals were annealed at 400 °C for 200 h in vacuum ($\sim 10^{-4}$ Pa). Specific-heat measurements were carried out in magnetic fields of 0 – 9 T parallel to the c -axis on field cooling by the thermal-relaxation method, using a commercial apparatus (Quantum Design, PPMS). The Cernox thermometer used for the specific-heat measurements was calibrated in each magnetic-field. The heat capacity of the crystals was obtained by subtracting the heat capacity of the addenda measured in each magnetic-field.

3. Results

3.1 Specific Heat in Magnetic Fields

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the specific heat plotted as C/T vs T^2 in zero field for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$. It is found that a jump of specific heat is clearly observed at T_c for $x = 0.6 - 0.9$, indicating the appearance of bulk superconductivity in these crystals. By contrast, the crystal of $x = 1$ shows no SC anomaly, which is consistent with our magnetic-susceptibility results.²⁴⁾ The T_c exhibits the maximum at $x = 0.7$, while the magnitude of the specific-heat jump at T_c exhibits the maximum at $x = 0.8$. The electronic specific-heat, C_{el} , in the normal state is found to increase with increasing x at $x = 0.6 - 0.9$, because the phonon specific-heat, C_{ph} , does not change with x so much.³³⁾ On the other hand, C_{el} is reduced at $x = 1$. These behaviors of C_{el} are explained in terms of the effective mass being enhanced around the boundary between SC and AF phases.³³⁾ The value of γ_0 is nearly zero for $x = 0.6 - 0.8$, suggesting the homogeneous SC state in these crystals. On the other hand, γ_0 is finite for $x = 0.9$, suggesting the existence of normal-state carriers even in the SC state.³³⁾

The specific heat in various magnetic-fields for $x = 0.6 - 1$ is shown in Fig. 2. For $x = 1$, the specific heat is almost independent of magnetic field, indicating that C_{el} in the normal




Fig. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the specific heat, C , in various magnetic-fields parallel to the c -axis on field cooling plotted as C/T vs T^2 for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$.

state as well as C_{ph} is unaffected by the application of magnetic field. On the other hand, it is found that not only the value of C/T but also its slope against T^2 increases with increasing magnetic-field for $x = 0.6 - 0.8$, as observed in the result of $x = 0.57$ by Hu *et al.*²⁸⁾ Since the value of C/T is independent of magnetic field for $x = 1$, the peculiar behavior of C/T in magnetic fields for $x = 0.6 - 0.8$ is probably due to C_{el} in the SC state.

3.2 Magnetic-Field-Dependence of Superconducting Gap

In order to estimate C_{el} , C_{ph} was subtracted from the total specific-heat, using the data of C of $\text{Fe}_{0.95}\text{Cu}_{0.05}\text{Se}_{0.4}\text{Te}_{0.6}$ in which the superconductivity was completely suppressed through the 5% substitution of copper for iron. On the subtraction, the balance of entropy between SC and normal states at the SC onset temperature was taken into consideration precisely. The details in the estimate of C_{el} have been described in our previous paper.³³⁾ Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of C_{el} divided by T in zero field and 9 T for $x = 0.6 - 0.9$. It is found that the onset of the SC transition, where C_{el}/T starts to increase quickly with decreasing temperature at ~ 15 K for $x = 0.6 - 0.8$ and at ~ 12 K for $x = 0.9$, is almost unchanged by the application of magnetic field. In contrast, the position of the SC peak shifts to the low-temperature side and the peak width becomes broad by the magnetic field. Focusing on the data at low temperatures shown in the insets, the slope of the C_{el}/T vs T plot in




Fig. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the electronic specific heat divided by temperature, C_{el}/T , in zero field and 9 T for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 0.9$. Insets show magnified plots at low temperatures.

9 T is found to be larger than that in zero field, indicating that the increasing slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot by the application of magnetic field shown in Fig. 2 is caused by C_{el} , namely, the change of the electronic state in magnetic field.

In order to discuss quantitatively, the SC gap was estimated using the two-gap model. In this model where two bands are assumed to produce respective two SC gaps independently, the entropy, S_i , and the specific heat, C_i , of the i ($i = 1, 2$) band are described as follows,⁴⁵⁾

$$\frac{S_i}{\gamma_{Ni}T_c} = -\frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{\Delta_i}{k_B T_c} \int_0^\infty [f_i \ln f_i + (1 - f_i) \ln (1 - f_i)] dy_i, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{C_i}{\gamma_{Ni}T_c} = t \frac{d(S_i/\gamma_{Ni}T_c)}{dt}. \quad (2)$$

Here, γ_{Ni} and Δ_i are the electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the normal state and the SC gap of the i band, respectively, and $f_i = [\exp(\beta E_i) + 1]^{-1}$ and $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$. The energy of quasiparticles is given by $E_i = [\epsilon_i^2 + \Delta_i^2(t)]^{1/2}$, where ϵ_i is the energy of normal electrons of the i band relative to the Fermi surface and $\Delta_i(t) = \Delta_i \delta(t)$. Here, $\delta(t)$ is the normalized BCS gap at the reduced temperature, $t = T/T_c$.⁴⁶⁾ The integration variable in Eq. (1) is $y_i = \epsilon_i/\Delta_i$. The total specific-heat is given by the sum of the contributions of each band calculated independently according to Eq. (2), namely, $C = C_1 + C_2$. The electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the normal state, γ_N , is given by $\gamma_N = \gamma_{N1} + \gamma_{N2}$. The experimental data of C were fitted with three parameters; Δ_1 , Δ_2 and the relative weight, $w \equiv \gamma_{N1}/\gamma_N$. Namely, $\gamma_{N2}/\gamma_N = 1 - w$. In the fitting, the value of w was fixed to be 0.7. This value was confirmed to give us the almost best-fit results for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7. Figure 4 displays the best-fit result for $x = 0.6$ using the two-gap model. The best-fit result using the single-gap model is also shown, where $i = 1$ only in Eq. (2). Obviously, the experimental data are well reproduced by the two-gap model at low temperatures below the peak temperature. Therefore, our specific-heat




Fig. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the electronic specific-heat divided by the temperature, C_{el}/T , in zero field for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6$ and the best-fit results using single-gap (dashed line) and two-gap (solid line) models.

results also prove the existence of at least two SC gaps in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$.

The dependence on the Te-concentration x of the two SC gaps in zero field and 9 T estimated thus is shown in Fig. 5. The Δ_L and Δ_S denote larger and smaller gaps, respectively. In zero field, it is found that Δ_L increases with increasing x and shows the maximum at $x = 0.8$ where the specific-heat jump shows the maximum as shown in Fig. 1, followed by the rapid decrease toward $x = 1$. On the other hand, the maximum of Δ_S appears at $x = 0.7$ where T_c exhibits the maximum. In a magnetic field of 9 T, intriguing is at $x = 0.6 - 0.8$ that Δ_S is significantly depressed by the application of magnetic field, whereas the depression of Δ_L is small. Accordingly, it is concluded that the significant depression of Δ_S by the magnetic field leads to excitation of quasiparticles at low temperatures effectively, resulting in the increase of the slope of the $C/T - T^2$ plot with increasing magnetic-field.

3.3 Magnetic-Field-Induced Change of γ_0 in the Ground State

In order to address the issue of the SC paring symmetry, specific-heat measurements were performed in magnetic fields at very low temperature below 2 K where the increase of the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot by the application of magnetic field is expected to be small far below T_c . Figure 6 shows the C/T vs T^2 plot in various magnetic-fields at very low temperatures down to 0.4 K for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7. The change of the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot is found to become small to some extent at very low temperatures. It is found that γ_0 increases with increasing magnetic-field. It is, however, noted that the increase in γ_0 is relatively small, compared with the increase in γ at 3 K formerly reported for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.57$ ²⁸⁾ and $x = 0.5$.⁴⁷⁾

Figure 7 shows the magnetic-field-dependence of the field-induced residual electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the ground state, $\Delta\gamma_0(H) \equiv \gamma_0(H) - \gamma_0(0)$, for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7. The horizontal and vertical axes are normalized by the upper critical field, H_{c2} ,⁴⁸⁾ and $\gamma_N - \gamma_0(0)$, respectively. As shown in the figure, for a fully gapped s -wave superconductor, the field-induced quasiparticle density of states proportional to $\Delta\gamma_0(H)$ exhibits linear field-dependence, because the quasiparticle density of states is proportional to the number of vortex cores. On the other hand, in the case of a d -wave SC gap with nodes, $\Delta\gamma_0(H)$ exhibits square-root field-dependence




Fig. 5. (Color online) Te-concentration dependence of larger and smaller superconducting gaps, Δ_L and Δ_S , respectively, obtained using the two-gap model for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$. Preceding data of Δ_L and Δ_S , obtained from specific heat,²⁸⁾ μSR ,^{29,30)} optical conductivity³¹⁾ and penetration depth³²⁾ measurements, are also plotted for comparison. Solid lines are to guide the reader's eye.

due to the Volovik effect. However, the present data of $\Delta\gamma_0(H)$ follow neither *s*-wave nor *d*-wave behavior for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 . Instead, the increase in $\Delta\gamma_0(H)$ is much smaller than that expected for superconductors with the typical *s*-wave or *d*-wave SC pairing symmetry.

4. Discussion

From the specific-heat measurements of $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$ in magnetic fields, it has been found that the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot at low temperatures increases with increasing magnetic-field for $x = 0.6 - 0.8$ where the specific-heat jump is clearly observed at ~ 14 K. Through the analysis using the two-gap model, it has been concluded that the depression of Δ_S by the application of magnetic field leads to the increase of the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot with increasing magnetic-field. Therefore, in order to discuss the increase of the quasiparticle density of states by the magnetic field, not the value of γ estimated at a finite temperature of 3 K^{28,47)} but the value of γ_0 in the ground state is indispensable.

Using the two-gap model, the magnitudes of two SC gaps, Δ_L and Δ_S , have been unveiled. In zero field, Δ_L shows the maximum at $x = 0.8$ where the specific-heat jump shows the maximum, while Δ_S shows the maximum at $x = 0.7$ where T_c shows the maximum. Specific-heat measurements by Hu *et al.*²⁸⁾ have revealed that the magnitudes of the two gaps are 3.77 meV and 2.53 meV at $x = 0.57$. The other preceding results have revealed that the two gaps are 2.6 meV and 0.87 meV at $x = 0.5$ from μSR ,²⁹⁾ 2.61 meV and 0.51 meV at $x = 0.5$ from μSR ,³⁰⁾ 5.08 meV and 2.47 meV at $x = 0.55$ from optical-conductivity,³¹⁾ 2.5 meV and 1.1 meV at $x = 0.58$ from penetration-depth measurements.³²⁾ As shown in Fig. 5, the present results are not contradictory to the specific heat and μSR results, although the optical-conductivity and penetration-depth results show a little large value of Δ_L and small values of Δ_L , Δ_S , respectively. The max-




Fig. 6. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the specific heat, C , in various magnetic-fields parallel to the *c*-axis on field cooling at very low temperatures down to 0.4 K plotted as C/T vs T^2 for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 .

imum T_c not at $x = 0.8$ but at 0.7 suggests that the SC transition in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ is dominated by Δ_S . As shown in Fig. 5, Δ_S is suppressed by the application of magnetic field more significantly than Δ_L in a wide range of $x = 0.6 - 0.8$ where the homogeneous superconductivity appears. Since the SC coherence length is anti-correlated with the size of the SC gap, it is reasonable that Δ_S tends to be depressed effectively by the magnetic field. On the other hand, equivalent effects of the magnetic field on the depression of Δ_L and Δ_S at $x = 0.9$ is due to the values of Δ_L and Δ_S close together, which may be related to the inhomogeneous SC state in real space or *k*-space.³³⁾

Finally, we discuss the magnetic-field-induced enhancement of γ_0 . As shown in Fig. 7, the increase in γ_0 with increasing magnetic-field for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 is much smaller than that expected for superconductors with the typical *s*-wave or *d*-wave SC pairing symmetry. Besides, the increasing behavior of γ_0 as clearly shown in the inset seems not to be so simple at $x = 0.6$. This unusual field-dependence of γ_0 is distinct from the behaviors in iron-pnictide superconductors¹³⁾ and from the behavior of the thermal conductivity in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0$ where the typical multi-gap *s*-wave behavior is observed.³⁴⁾ These results may be related to the theory by Bang⁴⁹⁾ that, for dirty *s*_±-wave superconductors with the ratio of two SC gaps being $0.7 - 0.9$ and γ_0/γ_N in zero field being ~ 0.22 , the enhancement of γ_0 by the application of magnetic field is smaller than that expected for superconductors with the simple *s*-wave SC pairing symmetry due to the multi-band effect. Although the ratio of our estimated two gaps is 0.63 and 0.67 for $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 , respectively, the present enhancement of γ_0 is too small to be explained by his theory. As our estimated γ_0/γ_N for $x = 0.6$ is ~ 0.014 which is one order of magnitude smaller than that assumed in his theory, our crystals of $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 might be in the clean limit at low temperatures.³⁹⁾ According to his theory, moreover, the smaller γ_0/γ_N becomes, the more γ_0 is enhanced by the application of magnetic field, which is contradictory to the present result. Accordingly, further measurements including the specific heat in high magnetic fields to investigate how γ_0 reaches γ_N or another theory is necessary to understand the peculiar behavior of γ_0 in magnetic fields.

5. Summary

We have investigated magnetic-field effects on the specific heat for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6 - 1$. From the temperature




Fig. 7. (Color online) Magnetic-field-dependence of the field-induced residual electronic-specific-heat-coefficient in the ground state, $\Delta\gamma_0(H) \equiv \gamma_0(H) - \gamma_0(0)$, normalized by $\gamma_N - \gamma_0(0)$ for $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ with $x = 0.6$ and 0.7 . H/H_{c2} represents the reduced field, where $H_{c2} = 48$ T.⁴⁸⁾ The inset is a magnified plot of Fig. 7 at low fields.

dependence of the specific heat plotted as C/T vs T^2 , it has been found that not only the value of C/T but also its slope increases with increasing magnetic-field. Using the two-gap model, it has been found that Δ_S is significantly depressed by the application of magnetic field, resulting in the increase of the slope of the C/T vs T^2 plot at low temperatures with increasing magnetic-field. On the other hand, Δ_L is almost unaffected by the magnetic field. The specific-heat measurements in magnetic fields at very low temperatures down to 0.4 K have been performed to investigate the change of γ_0 by the magnetic field. Surprisingly, the magnetic-field-induced enhancement of γ_0 is much smaller than that expected for superconductors with the typical *s*-wave or *d*-wave SC pairing symmetry. Although the multi-band effect might be related to the insensitiveness of γ_0 to magnetic field,⁴⁹⁾ further specific-heat measurements in high magnetic fields are necessary to be conclusive.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23540399.

- 1) C. Wang, L. Li, S. Chi, Z. Zhu, Z. Ren, Y. Li, Y. Wang, X. Lin, Y. Luo, S. Jiang, X. Xu, G. Cao, and Z. Xu, *Europhys. Lett.* **83**, 67006 (2008).
- 2) D. J. Singh, and M.-H. Du, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 237003 (2008).
- 3) K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087004 (2008).
- 4) A. Subedi, L. Zhang, D. J. Singh, and M. H. Du, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 134514 (2008).
- 5) A. Tamai, A. Y. Ganin, E. Rozbicki, J. Bacsá, W. Meevasana, P. D. C. King, M. Caffio, R. Schaub, S. Margadonna, K. Prassides, M. J. Rosseinsky, and F. Baumberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 097002 (2010).
- 6) H. Ding, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, K. Sugawara, T. Arakane, Y. Sekiba, A. Takayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, Z. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, *Europhys. Lett.* **83**, 47001 (2008).
- 7) D. V. Evtushinsky, D. S. Inosov, V. B. Zabolotnyy, A. Koitzsch, M. Knupfer, B. Büchner, M. S. Viazovska, G. L. Sun, V. Hinkov, A. V. Boris, C. T. Lin, B. Keimer, A. Varykhalov, A. A. Kordyuk, and S. V.

- Borisenko, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 054517 (2009).
- 8) M. L. Teague, G. K. Drayna, G. P. Lockhart, P. Cheng, B. Shen, H.-H. Wen, and N.-C. Yeh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 087004 (2011).
- 9) P. Szabó, Z. Pribulová, G. Pristáš, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and P. Samuely, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 012503 (2009).
- 10) T. Kondo, A. F. Santander-Syro, O. Copie, C. Liu, M. E. Tillman, E. D. Mun, J. Schmalian, S. L. Bud'ko, M. A. Tanatar, P. C. Canfield, and A. Kaminski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 147003 (2008).
- 11) K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, Y.-M. Xu, Y. Sekiba, S. Souma, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, *Europhys. Lett.* **85**, 67002 (2009).
- 12) M. A. Tanatar, J.-Ph. Reid, H. Shakeripour, X. G. Luo, N. Doiron-Leyraud, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, R. Prozorov, and L. Taillefer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 067002 (2010).
- 13) J. S. Kim, G. R. Stewart, L. Y. Xing, X. C. Wang, and C. Q. Jin, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **24**, 475701 (2012).
- 14) K. Okazaki, Y. Ota, Y. Kotani, W. Malaeb, Y. Ishida, T. Shimojima, T. Kiss, S. Watanabe, C.-T. Chen, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, H. Ikeda, H. Miyahara, R. Arita, A. Chainani, and S. Shin, *Science* **337**, 1314 (2012).
- 15) G. Mu, J. Tang, Y. Tanabe, J. Xu, S. Heguri, and K. Tanigaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 054505 (2011).
- 16) J. D. Fletcher, A. Serafin, L. Malone, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, A. S. Erickson, I. R. Fisher, and A. Carrington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 147001 (2009).
- 17) K. Hashimoto, M. Yamashita, S. Kasahara, Y. Senshu, N. Nakata, S. Tonegawa, K. Ikada, A. Serafin, A. Carrington, T. Terashima, H. Ikeda, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 220501(R) (2010).
- 18) F.-C. Hsu, J.-Y. Luo, K.-W. Yeh, T.-K. Chen, T.-W. Huang, P. M. Wu, Y.-C. Lee, Y.-L. Huang, Y.-Y. Chu, D.-C. Yan, and M.-K. Wu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 14262 (2008).
- 19) K.-W. Yeh, T.-W. Huang, Y.-L. Huang, T.-K. Chen, F.-C. Hsu, P. M. Wu, Y.-C. Lee, Y.-Y. Chu, C.-L. Chen, J.-Y. Luo, D.-C. Yan, and M.-K. Wu, *Europhys. Lett.* **84**, 37002 (2008).
- 20) M. H. Fang, H. M. Pham, B. Qian, T. J. Liu, E. K. Vehstedt, Y. Liu, L. Spinu, and Z. Q. Mao, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 224503 (2008).
- 21) W. Bao, Y. Qiu, Q. Huang, M. A. Green, P. Zajdel, M. R. Fitzsimmons, M. Zhernenkov, S. Chang, M. Fang, B. Qian, E. K. Vehstedt, J. Yang, H. M. Pham, L. Spinu, and Z. Q. Mao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 247001 (2009).
- 22) S. Li, C. de la Cruz, Q. Huang, Y. Chen, J. W. Lynn, J. Hu, Y.-L. Huang, F.-C. Hsu, K.-W. Yeh, M.-K. Wu, and P. Dai, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 054503 (2009).
- 23) B. C. Sales, A. S. Sefat, M. A. McGuire, R. Y. Jin, D. Mandrus, and Y. Mozharivskiy, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 094521 (2009).
- 24) T. Noji, T. Suzuki, H. Abe, T. Adachi, M. Kato, and Y. Koike, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 084711 (2010).
- 25) Y. Kawasaki, K. Deguchi, S. Demura, T. Watanabe, H. Okazaki, T. Ozaki, T. Yamaguchi, H. Takeya, and Y. Takano, *Solid State Commun.* **152**, 1135 (2012).
- 26) Y. Koshika, T. Usui, S. Adachi, T. Watanabe, K. Sakano, S. Simayi, and M. Yoshizawa, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 023703 (2013).
- 27) M. Ohno, T. Kawamata, T. Noji, K. Naruse, Y. Matsuoka, T. Adachi, T. Nishizaki, T. Sasaki, and Y. Koike, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83**, 044704 (2014).
- 28) J. Hu, T. J. Liu, B. Qian, A. Rotaru, L. Spinu, and Z. Q. Mao, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 134521 (2011).
- 29) P. K. Biswas, G. Balakrishnan, D. M. Paul, C. V. Tomy, M. R. Lees, and A. D. Hillier, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 092510 (2010).
- 30) M. Bendele, S. Weyeneth, R. Puzniak, A. Maisuradze, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, V. Pomjakushin, H. Luetkens, S. Katrych, A. Wisniewski, R. Khasanov, and H. Keller, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 224520 (2010).
- 31) C. C. Homes, A. Akrap, J. S. Wen, Z. J. Xu, Z. W. Lin, Q. Li, and G. D. Gu, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 180508 (2010).
- 32) K. Cho, H. Kim, M. A. Tanatar, J. Hu, B. Qian, Z. Q. Mao, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 174502 (2011).
- 33) T. Noji, M. Imaizumi, T. Suzuki, T. Adachi, M. Kato, and Y. Koike, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **81**, 054708 (2012).
- 34) J. K. Dong, T. Y. Guan, S. Y. Zhou, X. Qiu, L. Ding, C. Zhang, U. Patel, Z. L. Xiao, and S. Y. Li, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 024518 (2009).
- 35) R. Khasanov, K. Conder, E. Pomjakushina, A. Amato, C. Baines, Z. Bukowski, J. Karpinski, S. Katrych, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, A. Shengelaya, and N. D. Zhigadlo, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 220510(R) (2008).
- 36) B. Zeng, G. Mu, H. Q. Luo, T. Xiang, I. I. Mazin, H. Yang, L. Shan, C.

- Ren, P. C. Dai, and H.-H. Wen, *Nature Commun.* **1**, 112 (2010).
- 37) T. Hanaguri, S. Niitaka, K. Kuroki, and H. Takagi, *Science* **328**, 474 (2010).
- 38) H. Kim, C. Martin, R. T. Gordon, M. A. Tanatar, J. Hu, B. Qian, Z. Q. Mao, R. Hu, C. Petrovic, N. Salovich, R. Giannetta, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 180503(R) (2010).
- 39) H. Takahashi, Y. Imai, S. Komiya, I. Tsukada, and A. Maeda, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 132503 (2011).
- 40) I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 057003 (2008).
- 41) K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087004 (2008).
- 42) T. Inabe, T. Kawamata, T. Noji, T. Adachi, and Y. Koike, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 044712 (2013).
- 43) H. Kontani, and S. Onari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 157001 (2010).
- 44) S. Onari, and H. Kontani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 137001 (2012).
- 45) F. Bouquet, Y. Wang, R. A. Fisher, D. G. Hinks, J. D. Jorgensen, A. Junod, and N. E. Phillips, *Europhys. Lett.* **56**, 856 (2001).
- 46) V. B. Mühlischlegel, *Z. Phys.* **155**, 313 (1959).
- 47) T. Klein, D. Braithwaite, A. Demuer, W. Knafo, G. Lapertot, C. Marce-nat, P. Rodière, I. Sheikin, P. Strobel, A. Sulpice, and P. Toulemonde, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 184506 (2010).
- 48) S. Khim, J. W. Kim, E. S. Choi, Y. Bang, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and K. H. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 184511 (2010).
- 49) Y. Bang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 217001 (2010).